

## PLANNING COMMITTEE LIST 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2020

## COUNCILLOR REPRESENTATION

Name: Councillor Daniel Yates

## **Comment Details**

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application Comment Reasons:

- Because of the Additional Traffic
- Noise
- Residential Amenity
- Traffic or Highways

Comment: The impact of this HMO on the surrounding residents, community and properties could be significant due to the nature and intensification of occupation on this site:

- Potential for noise and other environmental disturbance including waste management issues
- Inadequate provision of parking and consequential impact to on street parking.
- Impact on community resources such as schools and health facilities due to the loss of family accommodation

It would also be helpful if the officer report could outline the impact of this being granted would have on the councils ability to meet its commitments within city plan part one, especially the requirements and the council's ability to meet its housing needs assessment.

I would ask that officers check the current and previously held licensing registers to check their impact on the 10% rule is properly taken into consideration.

I also note that in the recent appeal determination regarding 25 Wheatfield Way applying to increase from a 6 person HMO to a nine person HMO the inspector stated that "the increase in noise and general disturbance arising from the occupation by a maximum of 3 additional tenants would lead to significant harm."

Should the recommendation on this application be to approve I would like this application to come to committee please.